Oxygen in wound healing: a review
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A clinical evaluation of the efficacy and safety of singlet oxygen in cleansing and disinfecting stagnating wounds

**Objective:** This cohort study evaluated the clinical efficacy of singlet oxygen ActiMaris (AM) a hypertonic (3%) ionised (pH 9.8) sea water solution. It was assumed that when used for wound cleansing, disinfection and the reduction of inflammation AM would be safe and effective.

**Method:** Between May 2008 and May 2009, ambulant patients presenting at one of four wound healing centres were included in the study. Patients had critically colonised and/or infected, malodorous wounds, covered with slough/fibrin or wounds showing inflammation of the periwound skin. Wounds were assessed in terms of percentage changes in fibrin, slough and granulation tissue, they were assessed clinically and high resolution digital photographs were scored by a physician who was blinded to treatment allocation. Results were compared at baseline (week 0) and following 42 days of AM treatment (week 6).

**Results:** Seventy-three patients were included in the analysis. Dressing changes were at 2-day intervals on average, and the median treatment period was 46.04 days (range: 3–197). At 42 days, 33% (n=24) of included wounds had healed, 57% (n=42) had improved and 10% (n=7) remained stagnant. Cleansing and wound disinfection with AM was effective. In 31 patients (42%) wounds showed clinical signs and symptoms of critical colonisation and/or infection at day 0, whereas at day 42 the infection was completely eradicated. Inflammation was reduced in 60% (n=44) of cases and patients did not report pain or discomfort when using AM.

**Conclusion:** The use of singlet oxygen was shown to be safe and the results of this study indicate AM to be useful for wound cleansing, disinfection, reducing inflammation and promoting wound healing.

**Conflict of interest:** The centres were supplied with the study product by the sponsor. The authors have no financial interest in writing this article.

c: Chronic and stagnating wounds often provide an ideal habitat for microbial colonisation, which together with the lack of a host response, can impair healing. Furthermore, the environment within such wounds can be ideal for bacterial proliferation, especially in the presence of necrotic or sloughy tissue. The removal of devitalised tissue is generally accepted as a necessary precondition for the formation of new tissue. Devitalised tissue can mask infection, act as a physical barrier to healing and may impede normal matrix formation, angiogenesis or the development of granulation tissue. Devitalised tissue contributes to the production of inflammatory cytokines, which in turn leads to the overproduction of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs).

The value of chronic wound cleansing, including debride-ment, is a basic principle in the modern approach to wound management. It is a part of wound bed preparation — it gently and continuously removes debris and exudate, preparing the wound bed for wound closure.

Topical antimicrobial substances such as silver, povidone iodine or polihexanide are increasingly used to treat multi-resistant wound infections. Antiseptics have a lower potential to induce bacterial resistance compared with antibiotics, although overuse of these products may reduce their efficacy.

In recent years, there has been debate over the appropriateness and efficacy of various different methods of wound cleansing and disinfection. In Europe we differentiate between debridement (removal of dead tissue) and cleansing (removal of senescent cells and exudate). However, this distinction is not made everywhere. There are grey areas. For instance, in the management of stagnating wounds, the removal of an excess of MMPs may be done by absorptive dressings and not just by sharp debridement.
For wound cleansing, a variety of strategies are currently applied, such as short rinsing, or leaving a dressing impregnated with an antimicrobial in place for approximately 20 minutes (the so-called 'wet-to-dry' phase) before applying the usual dressing regime. Antimicrobials have a time to onset, so a better effect can be expected when applied for 20 minutes, rather than a quick rinse. We previously published this method in JWC and it has since been widely practiced in Continental Europe and is currently gaining favour in the UK.

To use these tissue friendly solutions in a moist wound healing dressing, of course, gives an even better effect. However, for this study we used AM the same way as polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB) or other antiseptic solutions would be used, applying best practice — the wet-to-dry phase.

The wet-to-dry phase is a multi-phase concept, which starts with an active cleansing phase, the 'wet' phase, in which a cleansing fluid is applied to the wound for 20 minutes to one hour, followed by a short resting phase, the 'dry' phase. During the dry phase, the wound is covered with a gauze dressing and peri-wound skin integrity is restored. Cleansing fluid evaporates during both phases, resulting in the release of wound debris, exudate and pathogens, which saturate the gauze dressing during the dry phase. This moist wound healing dressing, usually an alginate, foam or Hydrofiber, is applied. If local infection is present, then an antiseptic may be used as the cleansing agent during the wet phase and an antiseptic dressing might be used afterwards, instead of an absorbent dressing. The aim of the wet-to-dry phase is not to create an optimal healing environment or temperature (although excessive cooling is to be prevented), but rather to cleanse the wound and reduce itching and inflammation.

There are currently no conclusive data to show which strategy, out of continuous treatment with an antimicrobial combined with a dressing, or a short cleansing phase using an antimicrobial before dressing application is the most effective strategy for wound disinfection. However, it has been suggested that the antimicrobial carrier used and the time during which the antimicrobial can become activated can influence the results obtained with the treatment.

**Singlet oxygen**

Singlet oxygen, a form of molecular oxygen (O₂) which is less stable than the normal triplet oxygen, is a reactive oxygen species (ROS). ActiMaris (AM) (QuantumMedis Est, Vaduz, Liechtenstein) is an ionised (pH 9.8) solution of sea water with active singlet oxygen, that has been used as an antimicrobial for wound disinfection in Austria, Switzerland and Germany. It is hypertonic (3%) and thus draws water out of cells by osmosis.

ROS are implicated in cellular activity to a variety of inflammatory responses. Effects of ROS on cell metabolism have been well documented for a variety of species. These include not only roles in apoptosis (programmed cell death) but also in other mechanisms such as the induction of host defences. This can be explained with the redox system. ROS generated within cells, or, more generally, in a tissue environment, can damage cells and tissues. Aerobic organisms can carefully control the generation of ROS and other oxidative stress-related radical and non-radical reactive intermediates (that is, aerobic organisms can maintain redox homeostasis), and 'make use' of these molecules under physiological conditions, to modulate signal transduction, gene expression and cellular functional responses (redox signalling).

When AM is in contact with the wound bed, singlet oxygen is released slowly, as during the Krebs cycle in mitochondria. At a high pH (9.8), AM’s singlet oxygen interacts with hydroxide groups as a redox system, which occurs through a series of complex electron transfers. Redox signalling can have positive effects, such as the induction of host defence, and 'make use' of these molecules under physiological conditions, to modulate signal transduction, gene expression and cellular functional responses (redox signalling).

In AM, active oxygen is bound and stabilised between sodium and chloride ions (NaOCl) in water or gel. Bacteria and viruses do not have an efficient defence against singlet oxygen. Singlet oxygen has been shown to have microbicidal activity against Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli in vitro, and also when applied to chronic wounds in vivo. When in contact with skin and/or wounds, active oxygen induces a reaction by binding electrons from other cells or substances. This destroys the sulphate groups of bacterial membranes in seconds, and the bacteria are soon engulfed.

The fast onset of activity makes AM especially suitable for wound rinsing. AM is available as a solution, a forte solution and a gel. The solution and the gel are indicated for cleansing contaminated wounds and those at risk of infection. The forte solution is indicated for critically colonised and clinically infected wounds. AM has a low concentration of sodium oxichloride (0.2%) and both the solution and gel are alkaline (pH 9); the forte solution and gel are hypertonic (3.0%).

The product may be combined with various dressings, such as alginates, hydrofibres and foams, for continuous application. Due to excellent tissue compatibility and an absence of irritation, ubiquitous application is possible on the skin, mucous membranes, cavities, the middle ear and cartilage and beneath semi-occlusive and occlusive dressings. AM may be used for acute wounds, chronic
A shift in wound bed tissue types, to determine the stimulation of granulation and epithelialisation, comparing the wound bed condition at day 0 and day 42.

Secondary outcome
- Ease of use, safety and suitability in deep wounds.

Patients
Between May 2008 and May 2009 ambulant patients aged over 18 years with various wound types were recruited from four complex wound healing clinics, two in Austria (centres A and B), one in Germany (centre C) and one in Switzerland (centre D) (Fig 1). The treatment protocol and level of expertise is comparable across these centres.

Local ethics committee approval was obtained and patients gave written informed consent before entry into the study. The study included patients that had presented at the centres with non-healing wounds of different aetiologies. Stagnation was confirmed before entry into the study, by demonstrating a lack of improvement despite two weeks' treatment with appropriate standard treatment. Patients had critically colonised and/or locally infected malodorous wounds, covered with slough/fibrin and wounds showing symptoms and signs of inflammation of the peri-wound skin. Wounds with signs of infection, swabs were taken for bacterial analysis. Patients with systemic and spreading wound infections and those with critical ischaemia were excluded.

Demographic and clinical data
At day 0, patients' general condition, nutritional status and intake, mobility status, age and risk factors were assessed, together with social status and specific factors that can delay wound healing, such as alcohol use, circulation disorders, diabetes mellitus, illicit drug use, medications and smoking.

Wound healing, reduction of wound area and wound bed condition
Wound area reduction and wound bed condition were assessed at dressing changes on days 0, 7, 14, 28 and 42. Baseline (week 0) versus day 42 (week 6).

AM treatment results were compared, looking at the percentage changes in fibrin, slough and granulation tissue. Both clinical assessment and high resolution digital photographs were used, the photos scored by two physicians, who were blinded to treatment. Photographs were analysed using a digital tool, which was applied to assess wound size and evolution of the wound bed.

A computer program, ZWM WDSI (www.wf.ch) was used to calculate the wound area from these digital images. This program includes an adapted version of the Dutch Wound Care Society (DWCS) colour classification, which was used to calculate
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Fig 1. Patient flow chart.
had increased, or when granulation and epithelialisation did not progress:
- Wounds were defined as improved when the clinical signs and symptoms of infection/inflammation had reduced and/or when granulation/epithelialisation had progressed.
- Wounds were defined as closed when epithelialisation was complete.

### Peri-wound skin condition

The condition of peri-wound skin was assessed at dressing changes on days 0, 7, 14, 28 and 42. Baseline (week 0) results, versus those at 6 weeks' treatment were compared using a four-point scale, based on a modified physician global assessment scale (PGA). The presence of inflammation was evaluated using the following scores: 1 = absent, 2 = minimal, 3 = moderate, 4 = severe. Evaluation considered both the degree of redness and the area of peri-wound skin involved.

### Odour assessment

Odour was assessed subjectively at dressing changes, asking both clinicians and patients to score it on a five-point scale (1=none offensive odour; 2=slight offensive odour; 3=moderate offensive odour; 4=strong offensive odour; 5=severe offensive odour). Patients and clinicians were both asked if they observed any changes when comparing the odour to that smelled at the previous dressing change. Finally, patients were asked if wound odour had influenced their daily living. A five-point scale measuring quality of life in relation to wound odour was used for this (1=very good; 2=good; 3=moderate; 4=poor; 5=very poor).

### Dressing regime

Using AM as the cleansing agent, the treatment protocol used the wet-to-dry phase. The choice of moist wound healing dressing (alginate, foam or Hydrofiber) used after the wet-to-dry phase was at the clinician's discretion. Both wound cleanser and dressing were applied in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions by experienced clinicians — the nurses and doctors at all five centres are certified wound care specialists, having completed a two-year course. The treatment period lasted a maximum of 6 weeks.

The study protocol stipulated that clinicians should treat the underlying aetiology — for instance, venous leg ulcers should be treated with compression and diabetic foot ulcers should be managed with off-loading and callus removal. The choice of primary and secondary dressing was at the discretion of the clinician, as were dressing changes (on average, these took place every 2 days).

If a wound was infected, the peri-wound skin was protected, where applicable, with a zinc cream.

---

**Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the consenting participants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Characteristics</th>
<th>Consent participants (n=73)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>68.8 ± 7.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoedema</td>
<td>8 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>8 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyneuropathy</td>
<td>3 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral arterial disease</td>
<td>14 (19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiplegia</td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraplegia</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Ischemia</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVI</td>
<td>22 (36.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12 (18.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound duration (months)</td>
<td>0.5–221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed leg ulcer</td>
<td>13 (18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial leg ulcer</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFU</td>
<td>8 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post infect.</td>
<td>4 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>5 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical</td>
<td>10 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>4 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLU</td>
<td>25 (34.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper leg</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacrum</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochanter</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toes</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot sole</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heel</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malleolus</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVI=chronic venous insufficiency; DFU=diabetic foot ulcer; PU=pressure ulcer; VLU=venous leg ulcer.
Fig 2. Wound healing per wound type, comparing results at day 0 and day 42

Treatment was according to wound phase. For instance, highly exuding wounds received an absorbent dressing; deep wounds were treated with a wound filler (such as an alginate) and covered with a secondary dressing (such as a foam).

Follow up study
On completion of the study, the wound healing clinics kept AM as part of their treatment protocol. A further study was conducted between June 2009 and October 2010 at the same four wound healing clinics, using the same methodology and the same wound types as the present cohort study. Data were selected from 1136 patients, treated with AM, with various wound types, to assess the effectiveness of AM treatment and confirm its safety.

Results
All patients that were included (n=73) completed the study, and no adverse events were recorded. The mean age of participants was 68.8 years (SD±7.97) (range: 9–95 years). 35 were female. The duration of wounds before the start of this treatment ranged from 0.5 months to 221 months. Prior to entry into the study, the cleansing regimen for wounds was just PMB. The same moist wound healing dressings were used. Table 1 shows patient characteristics, concomitant diseases, wound types and wound locations.

In 90% of cases, standard AM rinsing solution was used. The median treatment period was 46.04 days (range: 3–197 days). At 42 days, 33% (n=24) of included wounds had healed, 58% (n=42) had improved (with at least a 20% reduction in wound area), 3% (n=2) remained stagnant and 7% (n=5) had deteriorated. All of the wounds that remained stagnant or deteriorated had issues with microcirculation, and an arterial component. Results are given at 42 days as this is the time by which wounds of the included categories might be expected to improve or heal. There were no differences in healing rates between treatment centres. For details of wound healing results by wound type, see Fig 2.

At the beginning of the study (day 0) peri-wound skin inflammation was present in all of the included wounds, with a mean score of 3.6 (SD±3.12) on the four-point scale. By the end of the study (day 42), this had resolved in 60% (n=44), with a mean score of 1 (SD±1.02); was minimal in 33% (n=23), with a mean score of 1.7 (SD±1.14); and was moderate in 7% (n=6), with a mean score of 2.8 (SD±2.62).

Clinicians and patients both noted a reduction of offensive odour within 10 minutes of applying AM. On day 0, very offensive odour was present in 36% (n=26) with a mean score of 4.6 (SD±4.32) on the five-point scale used. By the second dressing change, the odour score had reduced to a mean of 2.1 (SD±2.02) in 18 of these patients (25% of the total) and in the remaining 8 patients (11%) odour scores were moderate, with a mean of 3.2 (SD±3.18). At the end of the study, offensive odour had been resolved in all cases, with a mean score of 1.2 (SD±0.96). No significant differences were found between the scores given by clinicians and patients.

Results concerning the impact of wound odour on quality of life were positive, with patients reporting an improvement in their everyday quality of life as a result of odour reduction. At day 0, the mean score was 4.2 (±3.8), whereas by day 42 this had reduced to 1.8 (±1.6).

On day 0, 42% of patients (n=31) had wounds with symptoms and signs of critical colonisation and/or infection. Infection was confirmed in 12 cases (16% of the total) by wound swab. One patient received systemic antibiotics. Local wound treatment with AM was sufficient for the other patients.

Clinicians reported that handling AM during dressing changes was easy. The majority (84.2%) scored its clinical efficacy as 'very good' and stated that they would recommend AM for wound cleansing.

AM was successfully applied in combination with various dressings, including absorbent pads, alginate, Hydrofiber, hydrocolloid, collagen, foam, films and superabsorbent dressings.

Follow up study results
The follow up study found similar cleansing efficacy. Of the 33% (n=386) of patients that had wounds with symptoms and signs of critical colonisation and/or infection at day 0, 28% (n=108) had resolved within 14 days of AM treatment, which is in line with the results of the cohort study. Eradication of infection was confirmed by comparing wound cul-
Discussion
The results of our study indicate that AM reduces signs of infection and peri-wound skin inflammation and that it supports wound cleaning. Using the wet-to-dry phase with AM and abсорbent dressings, there was a shift from chronic inflammation to proliferation, which shows that stagnating wounds moved on to granulation and epithelialisation. This suggests a reduction of proinflammatory cells, such as MPMs. The wounds that remained stagnant and/or deteriorated all had reduced microriculation or an artefactual component, which might explain their lack of response to treatment. AM’s wound cleansing and disinfection efficacy was nonetheless demonstrated for various wound types.

It is thought that a major factor enhancing inflammation in stagnating wounds is an imbalance of oxidants and antioxidants. The stagnating wound microenvironment induces oxidative stress. Following wounding, leukocytes, such as neutrophils, release various ROS into the wound environment, such as superoxide anions, hydroxyl radicals, singlet oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. Endothelial cells and fibroblasts — in particular senescent fibroblasts, which are prominent in stagnating wounds — are also a potential source of ROS. The re-oxidation activity of AM’s singlet oxygen may help to restore the balance of oxidants and antioxidants.

The effect of this product on wound odour reduction and its compatibility with dressings such as alginate, Hydrofiber and foams, warrants further investigation of singlet oxygen in the treatment of chronic wounds. Offensive odour can be a big problem in oncology wounds and current strategies to reduce it involve the use of topical antimicrobials (such as metronidazole, cedexol iodine and polihexanol) together with reducing the amount of dead tissue. Wound odour may be attributed to the size or irregular shape of the wound, the liquefaction of dead tissue or the management of exudate. The current management options are not sufficient, as they do not stay activated long enough, are too toxic to be used on large surfaces, or they do not penetrate far enough, to anaerobic bacteria located beneath the surface. Here, the application of AM has shown its potential. Especially as it may be combined with various dressings and is appropriate for use on fragile tissues.

Limitations
As with many new commercially available cleansing products, direct comparative data on the use of AM is not yet available. Because there is no comparison or control group, cause and effect relationships cannot be inferred from the present study. However, before the study treatment was initiated, all of the included patients had previously been treated, unsuccessfully, with other therapeutic modalities, which may be considered as a historical control.

Conclusion
AM demonstrated effective wound cleansing, removing debris and slough from the wound bed. The product was easy to apply and can be safely used in both hospital and community settings. AM showed good tolerability and high levels of user satisfaction and patient comfort.

Role of oxygen in wound healing: a review of evidence

Objective: To review the evidence regarding the influence of oxygen as an intrinsic factor on cutaneous wound healing.

Method: A literature search was performed using Ovid and the Cochrane Database with the search terms: 'Wound healing', 'Oxygen', 'Collagen', 'Angiogenesis', 'Inflammation' and 'Surgical Site Infection'. Human and animal studies were included if relevant and examined for methodological quality.

Results: There are no meta-analyses of the use of oxygen in wound healing and only two randomised controlled trials (RCTs). Studies vary in methodological quality. The majority of the data comes from animal models. In total 1568 studies on wound healing and oxygen were found.

Conclusion: Oxygen is vital throughout wound healing, especially in the inflammatory and proliferative phases. Research suggests that patient supplementation with oxygen could enhance bacterial killing and angiogenesis, reduce surgical site infection rates and increase wound tensile strength, facilitating improved healing.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Following injury, wound perfusion and oxygenation are critical for acute inflammation and optimal wound healing. They can be compromised by many factors, both local (such as disrupted local vasculature following wounding) or relative hypoxia due to the increased oxygen demands of normal healing and systemic (for instance, pre-existing co-morbidities, which can affect the oxygen and nutrient supply to injured tissues).

Tissue hypoxia (defined as oxygen levels below 30mmHg) can impact greatly on all phases of the wound healing process.

Since the 1960s, oxygen and reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been studied to discern the roles they play in the different phases of wound healing. Early research by Hunt and colleagues recognised that oxygen was vital both for granulation tissue formation (through aerobic cell respiration) and as a cofactor during the hydroxylation of proline and lysine during collagen synthesis. The same team went on to show that the tensile strength of experimental wounds was directly proportional to the partial pressure of atmospheric oxygen.

A clinical guideline has been published by the National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) to address the issue of surgical site infections (SSIs). With their considerable morbidity and mortality, SSIs are a major economic cost to the NHS. They increase the burden of wound management, sometimes causing further complications (that can require additional surgeries) and they have a huge impact on quality of life.

In 1984, Knighton et al. showed that in an animal model, higher inspired concentrations of oxygen reduced the extent of infection following intradermal bacterial inoculation. In the early respiratory burst of the inflammatory phase, oxygen is a crucial substrate for the production of ROS by neutrophils whereas in the later proliferative phase of wound healing, the absence of oxygen plays a role in angiogenesis — hypoxia initiates neovascularisation and the induction of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).

This review examines the evidence of oxygen's influence on wound healing since these early experiments, and aims to give a balanced view of both hypoxia and hyperoxia throughout the different phases of wound healing.

Oxygen and inflammation

Neutrophils are active in the early inflammatory phase of healing. They produce ROS, which destroy micro-organisms in a process named the 'respiratory burst.' ROS are especially important during the inflammatory phase of wound healing.

An in vitro experiment by Allen et al. showed the concentration of atmospheric oxygen to be directly proportional to neutrophil oxygen consumption during the respiratory burst. Temperature, pH and glucose concentration were all tightly controlled, although the experiment was not blinded and gave no data of inferential statistics. The researchers found no quantitative differences between neutrophils isolated from serum and those isolated from wounds, which enhanced the experiment's external validity. Interestingly, neutrophil oxygen consumption failed to plateau, which suggests that it may be possible to enhance neutrophils' antibacterial activity, using high concentrations of inspired oxygen, clinically.
In vivo human evidence comes from a study of 130 surgical patients, in whom the rate of SSIs (classified as infection in the wound, up to 30 days postoperatively) had a statistically significant, inversely proportional relationship with subcutaneous wound oxygen tension (p<0.03). Due to the variety of cases, the external validity of this result was high, but reliability was limited as there was no control over the use of supplemental oxygen, prophylactic antibiotics or fluids given post-operatively. However, despite these methodological flaws, this result is hard to ignore.

Further clinical evidence comes from two double-blind randomised controlled trials (RCTs). In the first of these studies, by Greif et al., 500 patients were given either 30% or 80% oxygen (via mask) intra-operatively and for two hours post-operatively. SSI rates (classified as wound infections in the first 15 days post-surgery) were found to be significantly lower in the 80% oxygen group (p<0.01). The original study design aimed to collect data on 1000 patients, but the trial was stopped early due to the marked statistical difference between groups and the ethical implications of not offering all patients the best treatment.

The second study, by Belda et al., had similar results in 300 colorectal patients. SSI rates were statistically significantly reduced, from 24.4% in the 30% group to 14.9% in the 80% group (p=0.04). This is remarkably similar to Greif et al.'s results, which is surprising given that the predicted SSI rates in Belda's study were nearly double those expected in Greif's. Belda's study differed further, as oxygen was inhaled for an extra 4 hours postoperatively, and there was no mention that patients were always adequately oxygenised, determined using pulse oximetry in the UK, which is recommended in the NICE SSI guideline. We do not know the extent to which the 15-day cut off reduced the reported incidence of SSIs compared with a 30-day cut off (as seen in previous research). Additionally, it remains unclear how a postoperative FiO₂ of 0.8 was achieved in Belda's study, as even using a re-breathing mask, the maximum possible FiO₂ is 0.5.

Interestingly, even the most recent clinical study from Denmark has refuted these findings. This large, double blinded RCT found no statistically significant difference in SSI rates between patients inhaling 0.8 FiO₂ and those inhaling 0.3 FiO₂ during abdominal operations and for two hours post-operatively (p=0.64). However, it is possible that the trial was...
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wound hypoxia to be detrimental throughout all the phases of wound healing, including angiogenesis, while hypoxia seems to confer benefit. This animal study by Hopf et al. examined the effects of hypoxia and hypertension on neovascularisation in gel plugs implanted under the skin of mice. They found significant increases in angiogenesis in hypertensive wounds (p<0.05) while observing a significant decrease of angiogenesis in hypoxic wounds (p=0.001). Reliability was low, however, as hypoxic wounds were also treated with VEGF, impregnated into the implanted gel. It is also of note that all significant results came from wounds that were treated with hyperbaric (2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 ATA) and not normobaric 100% oxygen.

Studying a similar effect, Sander et al. examined acute wounds in rats treated with hyperbaric oxygen, finding that neovascularisation occurred statistically significantly earlier (p<0.05) compared with control animals, with faster rates of epithelialisation and wound closure. This blinded study used an in vivo photographic method to measure vascularisation and would have been affected by hydration, cutaneous temperature and oxygenation of the blood, which reduces its reproducibility and the reliability of measurements. A method for overcoming such physiological variability and accurately measuring vascularisation, is historiographic analysis of wound tissue. This has been used successfully in other studies.

VEGF expression has previously been linked to ROS. More recent work in patients with chronic wounds has shown that VEGF-β is significantly up-regulated with treatment with hyperbaric oxygen therapy (p<0.05). VEGF-β appears to be regulated by ROS, as when patients are treated with oesophageal acid (1A) at the same time, which acts as a biological antioxidant, scavenging ROS, this result is reversed. It is unknown if this work translates to acute wounds.

A study by Tribold and colleagues has shown that VEGF synthesis is stimulated by increasing lactate levels in wounds, compared with control (p<0.05). This study was not without its limitations — it used an animal model, all the wounds were incisional, and lactate production was artificial (from an implanted polysulpho 910 woven mesh). We do not know if the levels of lactate in these experimental wounds match those seen clinically, which affects the external validity of the study. Nonetheless, this finding, thought to be independent of hypoxia, highlights that there are other mechanisms of action within wounds, stimulating VEGF expression.

Oxygen and collagen
It has long been known that molecular oxygen is necessary for the hydroxylation of proline and lysine during collagen synthesis and that without it only procollagen, a functionally deficient variant of collagen, is produced.
This theory has been corroborated by evidence from Kan and colleagues, who examined human fibroblasts in hypoxic wound conditions. They found a reduced amount of collagen in these wounds, which may be explained by a statistically significant increase (p<0.001) in matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP-1). This study was carried out in vitro on a small number of fibroblast populations. In vivo clinical data is limited, most likely due to the ethical considerations of enforcing hypoxic wound conditions in humans. This evidence suggests a possible new role of oxygen as an inhibitor of MMPs and hence, as a promoter of granulation tissue formation.

Oxygen supplementation has been examined with regard to the amount of collagen deposited in wounds. An in vivo study that used a rat model showed that, compared with control, four weeks of hyperbaric oxygen therapy significantly increased fibroblast infiltration and collagen deposition in porous polyethylene blocks implanted beneath the skin (p<0.05). However, it is possible that this finding stems from a type 1 statistical error, as it was revealed by a sub-group analysis.

Human studies are less conclusive. Nakada and colleagues found that, in conjunction with basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), hyperbaric oxygen therapy increased the amount of collagen in healed wounds (p<0.001). While this result is significant, it should be noted that the study was a case series, with no blinding of investigators or participants and no control groups. Without a control, we do not know if the result is due to hyperbaric oxygen alone, bFGF alone, or a combination of the two, although it seems unlikely that the result is solely due to hyperbaric oxygen, as seven of the patients had previously received hyperbaric oxygen therapy with no clinical improvement in their ulcers.

A double blinded RCT by Stotts and colleagues examined the effect of hydration on collagen formation in expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE) tubes implanted into the upper arms of elderly, nursing home residents. Patient hydration is known to augment subcutaneous tissue oxygen levels by increasing tissue perfusion. However, Stotts' study found no significant differences between the treatment and control groups in either collagen levels (p=0.84) or subcutaneous tissue oxygen levels (p=0.13), indicating that in this elderly population there were other intrinsic factors controlling tissue oxygenation and subsequent collagen production.
Further research using a younger in vitro human model is needed to clarify the role of supplemental oxygen in collagen formation in healing wounds.

Methods to increase wound oxygenation
As well as supplemental oxygen breathed at normal pressure, there are many methods for increasing tissue oxygenation, some with limited validation. Routine patient management, including the optimisation of hydration status and temperature, has been shown to improve tissue oxygenation as measured by transcutaneous partial pressure of oxygen (TcPO₂). In this study, patients were excluded if there was a known history of vascular disease but not if they had other risk factors, such as diabetes or current smoking.

Another interesting route to supplement oxygenation is through the administration of liposomal haemoglobin vesicles, as has been done in mice with critically ischaemic tissue. Wound healing has been found to significantly improve in mice supplemented with vesicle solution. Haemoglobin vesicles have a half-life of approximately 72 hours and histological examinations of the major organs has shown no damage. Further work is needed for efficacy and safety to be established in human models.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy uses 100% oxygen administered at pressures of 2.5 ATM for durations of around 90 minutes per day. Its use to enhance chronic wound healing is limited by the availability of facilities and tough criteria for treatment, considering its cost. Research on hyperbaric oxygen has shown that the oxygen carrying potential of the blood surpasses that of the maximum level of saturated haemoglobin; it is unknown if the same results can be obtained with normobaric high concentration oxygen.

Finally, an animal model using pigs has shown that topical applied oxygen increases partial pressures of oxygen in the wound bed. This study's method was limited in terms of the mechanism used to supply the wound with oxygen and the maximum wound depth to which oxygen is able to seep. At present, there are no valid clinical claims of its efficacy in wound healing, although more research may be expected.

Conclusion
Oxygen has a diverse and vital role in wound healing. Hypoxia has been implicated in facilitating each phase of wound healing. It appears to be vital in the inflammatory phase, where increased PO₂ reduces wound infection rates via a neutrophil-Ros mechanism. Infection risk might, therefore, be minimised by ensuring that all surgical patients have supplemental oxygen delivered post-operatively. Given that body temperature and hydration status affect tissue oxygenation, these should also be closely monitored, with any deficits compensated for, to ensure optimal oxygenation.

Oxygen has a paradoxical role in angiogenesis, as the early growth of new vessels is stimulated by hypoxia. However, the later stages of angiogenesis are also stimulated by hyperoxia. By increasing the gradient between healthy tissue and the wound, angiogenesis is facilitated, with the benefits of hyperoxia passed onto the wound bed once a vascular network has been established, following stimulation by the steep oxygen gradient. This further suggests that optimal post-operative oxygen is important in facilitating enhanced wound healing.

We know that oxygen plays a role in collagen synthesis but unfortunately, there appears to be confounding evidence surrounding what this role is exactly. Hypoxia and hyperoxia may affect the aesthetic result of the wound and it is possible that oxygen supplementation may improve tensile strength.

More work is needed to fully quantify the effects of oxygen on wound healing and determine the length of time for which oxygen supplementation is beneficial. Research is also warranted into the effects of increasing ROS concentration, following either normobaric or hyperbaric oxygen therapy, which might also determine whether or not these therapies have any detrimental as well as beneficial effects.